

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR)
Emergency Watershed Protection Program – Recovery

Section 1A

Date of Report: 04/04/2006

DSR Number: 103-05-205K Project Number: _____

NRCS Entry Only

Eligible: YES ☒ NO ☐
Approved: YES ☒ NO ☐
Funding Priority Number (from Section 4) 3de
Limited Resource Area: YES ☐ NO ☒

Section 1B Sponsor Information

Sponsor Name: City of Covington

Address: 609 N. Columbia St

City/State/Zip: Covington, La 70433-2707

Telephone Number: (985) 892-1811

Fax: (985) 898-4723

Section 1C Site Location Information

County: St. Tammany State: LA Congressional District: 01

Latitude: See comments Longitude: See comments Section: 7 Township: 11E Range: 44,45

UTM Coordinates: 15

Drainage Name: Various Drains in City of Covington Reach: E. 17th Ave Branch, 8th Ave Branch, Killeen Creek

Damage Description: Debris is impacting the drainage of channel. Debris is mostly trees. Medium with complexities

Section 1D Site Evaluation

All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for EWP assistance.

Site Eligibility	YES	NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Threat to life and/or property?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Imminent threat was created by this event?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Site Defensibility			
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments of the affected population have been informed of the EWP program and its possible effects? YES ☒ NO ☐

Comments: Start: E17th: 30.46690, -90.09530; 8th Ave: 30.46052, -90.10339; Killeen Creek: 30.46456, -90.09980
End: E17th: 30.46744, -90.09619; 8th Ave: 30.46210, -90.10657; Killeen Creek: 30.46679, -90.10238

* Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

DSR NO: 103-05-205K

Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

The proposed action is the preferred alternative. The proposed action is the least costly alternative that achieves the restoration objectives, maximizes environmental benefits and is socially acceptable. Remove and dispose of debris and large trees from the channel using conventional methods from either top bank as appropriate.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$

Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:

State EWP Program Manager

Date Reviewed: 7/18/06

Approved By:

State Conservationist

Date Approved: 7/24/06

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource Concerns	2B Existing Condition	2C Alternative Designation		
		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
		Remove and dispose of debris and large trees from the channel using conventional methods from either top bank as appropriate	Leave debris and trees in channel	No Alternative
		2D Effects of Alternatives		
Soil				
Bank Erosion	disturbed soils from bank, tree falls, and uprooting 20-T/ac	removal of debris will allow reveg. and reduce loss 5-T/ac	potential for further damage from debris 20-T/ac	
Soil Quality	soil quality reduced	soil quality improved	soil quality reduced	
Water				
Downstream water rights				
Overall stream health on 8th & 17th	poor due to excess debris.	increase with debris removal. SVAP not calculated	poor due to excess debris	
Overall stream health on Killeen Creek	SVAP 5.7	SVAP 6.2	SVAP 5.7	
Air				
particulate matter/dust	no effect	slight temporary increase during debris removal	no effect	
Plant				
riparian plants	excess debris potential damage	debris removal will protect existing riparian buffer zone	excess debris potential damage	
aquatic plants	excess debris potential damage	increase water quality and aquatic plants	excess debris potential damage	
Animal				
Aquatic habitat	impaired food web quality	quality increased/reduce BOD	quality reduced/high BOD	
Upland habitat	damaged due to debris	increase/low damage	slight increase with time	i
Other				
Aesthetics	Poor due to clutter	improved by debris removal	Poor due to clutter	
Vectors	increased vector habitat due to areas of stagnant water	decrease vector habitat	increased vector habitat due to stagnant water	

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Resource Consideration	Existing Condition	Alternatives and Effects		
		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	CWA Jurisdiction. No CWA permit will be needed if debris is left in channel.	improve water quality; CWA permit required	CWA Jurisdiction. No CWA permit will be needed if debris is left in channel.	NO Alternative
Coastal Zone Management Areas	Not within the coastal zone.	N/A	N/A	
Coral Reefs	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Cultural Resources	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.	
Endangered and Threatened Species	(FOTG Section 11)(Federal/ State Lists) Species listed in parish. None seen on site.	(FOTG Section 11) (Federal/ State Lists) Species listed in parish. None seen on site.	(FOTG Section 11)(Federal/ State Lists) Species listed in parish. None seen on site.	
Environmental Justice	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Essential Fish Habitat	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)	
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal	State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal	State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal	
Floodplain Management	Site is located in 100-year floodplain and function is impaired.	Improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity.	100-year floodplain function is impaired.	
Invasive Species	Chinese privet, tallow tree and christmas berry noted in riparian zone.	No long-term effects.	Chinese privet, tallow tree and christmas berry noted in riparian zone.	
Migratory Birds	Food and habitat reduced due to debris covering subcanopy habitat and riparian	Food and cover quality improved by debris rem.	Food and habitat reduced due to debris covering subcanopy habitat and riparian	
Natural Areas	(FOTG II) none identified	(FOTG II) none identified	(FOTG II) none identified	
Prime and Unique Farmlands	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present	
Riparian Areas	Riparian area impacted by debris accumulation and altered hydrology.	Riparian area improved by debris removal; some temporary impacts resulting from access.	Riparian area impacted by debris accumulation and altered hydrology.	
Scenic Beauty	1st Avenue Park overlooks site	Scenic value improved	Scenic value decreased	
Wetlands	Associated wooded wetlands adjacent to 1st creek. Quality reduced. LDEQ	Wetland conditions will improve with debris removal. CWA Permit Required	Associated wooded wetlands adjacent to 1st creek. Quality reduced. LDEQ	
Wild and Scenic Rivers	(FOTG II) - LDWF Scenic Streams List (not listed)	(FOTG II) - LDWF Scenic Streams List (not listed)	(FOTG II) - LDWF Scenic Streams List (not listed)	

Completed By: Bob DobbinsDate: 03/29/2006

DSR NO: 103-05-205K

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	Near Term Damage Reduction
Properties Protected (Private)			
15 houses at \$520,000 (avg. 4000 sf @ \$130 sf)	7,800,000.00	25	1,950,000.00
13 houses at \$390,000 (avg. 3000 sf @ \$130 sf)	5,070,000.00	25	1,267,500.00
17 houses at \$250,000 (avg 2000 sf @ \$125sf)	4,250,000.00	25	1,062,500.00
Properties Protected (Public)			
Business Losses			
Other Average cost of homes in this area is \$120-150 sf			
Total Near Term Damage Reduction \$			4,280,000.00
Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage Reduction minus Cost from Section 3)			

Completed By: Pat Cole

Date: 04/04/2006

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Completed By: Pat Cole Date: 04/04/2006

DSR NO: _____
103-05-205K

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	15
Black or African American Male Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	13
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	2
White Female Non-Hispanic	58
White Male Hispanic	2
White Male Non-Hispanic	51
Total Group	141

Census tract(s) City of Covington, US Fact Finder

Completed By: Pat Cole Date: 04/04/2006

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Access permission will be required (City of Covington) and or (St. Tammany Parish Government)

CWA permit prior to construction in channel is needed (COE).

Water Quality Certification is needed (LDEQ).

.

Mitigation Description:

Work should be designed to prevent damage to riparian zone adjacent to channel. Work should be conducted from one side of channel whenever possible.

Debris will be disposed of off-site when possible to minimize impacts to adjacent riparian areas and to minimize detriment to water quality and disposed off in a approved landfill if not chipped on-site.

Reseeding of riparian zone will be required after removal to minimize run-off effects in riparian zone in accordance with (342) Critical area treatment found in EFOTG Section IV.

Removal will be done in consecutive days to minimize impacts to local wildlife.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New Orleans District

LDEQ

LDWF

City of Covington
St. Tammany Parish Government

DSR NO: 103-05-205K

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifier
1. Is this an exigency situation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		3de
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?			d	
e. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?			e	
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO: 103-05-205K

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

The proposed action is the preferred alternative. The proposed action is the least costly alternative that achieves the restoration objectives, maximizes environmental benefits and is socially acceptable. Remove and dispose of debris and large trees from the channel using conventional methods from either top bank as appropriate

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative:

____ Has been sufficiently analyzed in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)
Chapter 5.2.2.1.2
Chapter _____
Chapter _____
Chapter _____
Chapter _____

____ May require the preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.
The action will be referred to the NRCS State Office on this date:

NRCS representative of the DSR team

Title: District Conservationist

Date: 7/14/06

Section 5B Comments:

Section 5C

Sponsor Concurrence:

Sponsor Representative


Title: Candace Watten

Date: 7/13/06

Section 6 Attachments:

- A. Location Map
- B. Site Plan or Sketches
- C. Other (explain)



PERMIT MAP OF DSR 103-05-205K ST. TAMMANY



Legend

- 17 th Ave Branch
- Killeen Creek
- 8 th Ave Branch

Topo Quad Covington
Sections: 7
Township 11E
Range 45,45



July 22, 2006